# Functional trophic guilds in a subtropical arid agroecosystem: which is the most beneficial?

# Introduction

Developing a habitat management program to promote the conservation and augmentation of biological control services requires a deep knowledge of the taxonomy and functional diversity of arthropods in a specific agroecosystem The objective of this study was evaluated the effect of the landscape structure on the arthropod community (taxonomic composition, abundance, functional groups and diversity) related to potato agroecosystem in the central coast of Peru

# Materials and methods

This study was conducted in experimental potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) fields without the application of insecticides planted in the localities of San Juan de Ihuanco (complex landscape) and Cañete (simple landscape) in the province of Cañete, Lima (Fig. 1, Table 1). Arthropod samples were taken above plant canopy using Malaise traps and from the soil strata using pitfall traps during theentire cropping season.

### A. Canopy arthropod guild **B.** Soil arthropod guild Predator Predator \_28.61% 36.68% Indifferer 27.27% Indifferen 43.13% Pollinator 0.03% Pollinator Phytophagous 19.18% Parasitoid 0.05% Parasitoid 6.39% 24.91% Phytophagous 13.75%

**Fig. 4**. Relative abundance of trophic guilds in the potato canopy (A) and soil (B) in the central coast of Peru.



Norma Mujica and Jürgen Kroschel

### Agroecology/IPM







**Table 1.** Structural features of the simple and complex agricultural landscape groups examined in two localities of the Cañete province.

| Structural features | Lands   | D             |
|---------------------|---------|---------------|
|                     | Ihuanco | Cañete valley |



**Fig.5.** Mean abundance (±SE) of arthropod trophic guilds and main taxonomic families recorded in two strata of the potato crop from complex (Ihuanco) and simple (Cañete) landscapes (IND: Indifferent PHY: phytophagous, PAR: parasitoids, PRE: predators, Dro:Drosophilidae, Mus: Muscidae, Agr: Agromyzidae, Cec: Cecidomyiidae, Cic: Cicadellidae, Bra: Braconidae, Eul:Eulophidae, Pte: Pteromalidae, Dol: Dolichopodidae, Ent: Entomobryidae, Por: Porcellionidae, Chr: Chrysomelidae, Gry: Grillidae, Car: Carabidae, Lin: Lyniphidae, Lab: Labiduridae, Sta: Staphylinidae).

### Phytophagous species associated to potato crop

Twenty phytophagous species associated to potato crop were identified, with *Liriomyza huidobrensis* (Blanchard) (Agromyzidae) and *Prodiplosis longifila* Gagne (Cecidomyiidae) as the most important pests (Table 2).

### Global Program of Integrated Crop and Systems Research International Potato Center P.O. Box 1558, Lima 12, Peru



| arable land              | 20.95 <u>+</u> 4.71 | 81.66 <u>+</u> 10.69 | 0.0095      |
|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| hedgerows, field margins | 4.61 <u>+</u> 0.43  | 0.10 <u>+</u> 0.06   | 0.0004      |
| roads                    | 1.90 <u>+</u> 0.74  | 2.65 <u>+</u> 0.23   | 0.3441 (NS) |
| settlement               | 0.18 <u>+</u> 0.04  | 14.69 <u>+</u> 11.11 | 0.1210 (NS) |
| irrigation channels      | 0.09 <u>+</u> 0.03  | 0.17 <u>+</u> 0.11   | 0.6170 (NS) |

# **Results and discussion**

### **Arthropods: Taxonomic composition**

A rich arthropod fauna comprising a total of 58148 specimens classified in 379 morphospecies in 119 families, 19 orders and five classes were collected from potato agroecosystem in the Cañete region (Fig. 2). Differences in relative abundance were observed according to crop strata evaluated (Fig. 3). In the total arthropod community Diptera, Coleoptera and Hymenoptera not only had the highest taxonomic richness but were the most abundant orders.



| e abundance were   | rating scale |
|--|--------------|
| hropod community<br>nomic richness but                   | ORDER / F    |
|  | COLEOPTER    |
| <b>g. 2</b> Taxonomy mposition and                       | Chrysomelid  |
| lative abundance of                                      |              |
| e arthropod<br>ommunity in potato<br>proecosystem of the | Scarabaeida  |
| añete region at the                                      |              |
| entral coast of Peru.                                    | DIPTERA      |
|  | Agromyzidae  |
| <b>g. 3</b> . Abundance of                               |              |
| thropod orders in the                                    | Cecidomyiida |
| nopy and soil strata                                     | HEMIPTERA    |
| polalo<br>polalo   | Aleyrodidae  |
| anete vallev of the                                      | Aphididae    |
| ntral coast of Peru                                      | Cicadellidae |

| Liriomyza huidobrensis   |                            | Prodiplosis longifila |         |       |       |             |  |  |  |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------------|--|--|--|
| <b>able 2</b> . List of main potato pests identified in simple and complex landscapes of the Cañete province in the central coast of Peru. Damage intensity estimated by using a ating scale of four levels: no infestation (), low (+), medium (++) and high (+++). |                            |                       |         |       |       |             |  |  |  |
|  |                            | Loca                  | lities  | Total |       | Importance* |  |  |  |
| ORDER / Family   | Specie                     | Cañete                | Ihuanco | n     | %     |             |  |  |  |
| OLEOPTERA  |                            |                       |         |       |       |             |  |  |  |
| Chrysomelidae  | Diabrotica decolor         | 8                     | 690     | 698   | 8.13  | +           |  |  |  |
|  | Diabrotica sp. (2 species) | 1                     | 19      | 20    | 0.23  | +           |  |  |  |
|  | <i>Epitrix</i> sp.         | 30                    | 522     | 552   | 6.43  | +           |  |  |  |
| Scarabaeidae   | Anomala undulata           | 84                    | 77      | 161   | 1.88  | ++          |  |  |  |
|  | <i>Bothynus</i> sp.        | 6                     | 6       | 12    | 0.14  | +           |  |  |  |
|  | Cyclocephala sp.           | 1                     | 11      | 12    | 0.14  | +           |  |  |  |
| IPTERA   |                            |                       |         |       |       |             |  |  |  |
| Agromyzidae  | Liriomyza quadrata         | 59                    | 79      | 138   | 1.61  | +           |  |  |  |
|  | Liriomyza huidobrensis     | 2241                  | 876     | 3117  | 36.32 | +++         |  |  |  |
| Cecidomyiidae  | Prodiplosis longifila      | 628                   | 258     | 886   | 10.32 | +++         |  |  |  |
| EMIPTERA   |                            |                       |         |       |       |             |  |  |  |
| Aleyrodidae  | Bemisia tabaci             | 42                    | 232     | 274   | 3.19  | +           |  |  |  |

### • G\ CIP \_\_\_ •

Miembro del Consorcio CGIAR



Ciencia para un futuro sin hambre



### **Arthropod: Functional guilds**

In the total arthropod community, a major abundance of natural enemies (32.5% of predators plus 15.9% of parasitoids) compared to phytophagous (16.5%) was observed. Indifferent arthropods formed one third of the arthropod population (Fig. 4). Some differences in the arthropod composition of functional groups were observed according to the trapping method used (pitfall or malaise trap) (Fig. 5).

| LEPIDOPTERA  |                         |      |      |      |        |    |
|--------------|-------------------------|------|------|------|--------|----|
| Gelechiidae  | Phthorimaea operculella |      | 4    | 4    | 0.05   | +  |
|              | Tuta absoluta           | 5    | 191  | 196  | 2.28   | +  |
| Noctuidae    | Agrotis sp. (2 species) | 6    | 18   | 24   | 0.28   | ++ |
|              | Spodoptera frugiperda   | 100  | 158  | 258  | 3.01   | ++ |
| ORTHOPTERA   |                         |      |      |      |        |    |
| Gryllidae    | Grillus assimilis       | 249  | 808  | 1057 | 12.32  | +  |
| THYSANOPTERA |                         |      |      |      |        |    |
| Thripidae    | Trips tabaci            | 1    | 4    | 5    | 0.06   | +  |
| Grand Total  |                         | 3641 | 4942 | 8583 | 100.00 |    |

*Myzus persicae* 

Empoasca kraemeri

66

114

482

507

548

621

6.38

7.24

+

**Table 3.** Indicators of biocontrol diversity for richness species and abundance for complex and simple landscape at the central Peruvian coast.

| Twenty phytophagous species associated to potato crop were<br>identified, with <i>Liriomyza huidobrensis</i> (Blanchard)<br>(Agromyzidae) and <i>Prodiplosis longifila</i> Gagne | Twenty phytophagous species associated to potato crop<br>were identified, with <i>Liriomyza huidobrensis</i> (Blanchard)<br>(Agromyzidae) and <i>Prodiplosis longifila</i> Gagne<br>(Cecidomyiidae) as the most important pests. |        |       | Twenty phytophagous species associated to potato<br>crop were identified, with <i>Liriomyza huidobrensis</i><br>(Blanchard) (Agromyzidae) and <i>Prodiplosis longifila</i><br>Gagne (Cecidomyiidae) as the most important<br>pests. |        |       |
|--|--|--------|-------|---|--------|-------|
| (Cecidomylidae) as the most important pests.   | Complex  | Simple | Total | Complex   | Simple | Total |
|  |  |        |       |   |        |       |
| oarasitoid / phytophagous  | 2.8  | 1.8    | 3.1   | 1.1   | 1.1    | 1.1   |
| oredator / phytophagous  | 3.4  | 2.6    | 3.7   | 1.7   | 3.0    | 2.2   |
| entomophagous /  |  |        |       |   |        |       |
| ohytophagous   | 6.2  | 5.1    | 6.8   | 2.7   | 4.1    | 3.3   |

## Conclusion

The complex landscape had a higher taxonomic and functional diversity than the simple landscape. Biological control services showed that richness of entomophagous (predators and parasitoids) species in relation to potato pests was higher in complex than simple landscape (table 3). However, ecosystem services of entomophagous abundance were superior in simple landscape. The potato agroecosystem shelter a diverse and abundant entomophagous guild that be improved with adequate can management strategies and consequently increase ecosystem resilience to pest outbreaks